Living much longer in the future will be of great benefit to everyone.

To what extend do you agree with this statement?

Nowadays, scientists claim that it would be not uncommon for people to live. there<u>foretofore</u>, <u>they</u> see <u>even</u> their great, great, grand<u>child child</u>. The opportunity for generation<u>s</u> to share their experiences and learning from each other is beneficial to everyone. Therefore, the longevity of people will bring about more interesting life.

A large number of people are of the opinion that, they would accomplish more if <u>their they'r</u> life span would be longer. They would have extra time to allocate quality time at work in order to concentrate on their <u>life's</u> work and they <u>would do</u> not need to retire <u>soonat their very time.and</u> <u>consequently</u> <u>So that</u>, their experience become<u>s</u> really valuable. Thus, <u>the</u> human race become<u>s</u> wiser.

However, the important thing that <u>we</u> must have take into account is living longer will have advantages if the life is in a proper way. No one wants to live their life in poor condition and illness. Individuals will suffer from illness during their long life time and have medical ine expenditure as well.

In addition, <u>the</u> world <u>will not be is not</u> ready for more <u>senior junior</u> citizens. Already we <u>are</u> fac<u>inged</u> problems such as overpopulation and limitation of food supply. Moreover, life expectancy would be expensive. Therefore, at best it would only be beneficial to rich people.

In conclusion, if people are going to have longer life span in order to be beneficial to <u>the</u> human race it would probably an improvement to rich level<u>s</u> of society. By contrast, this theory would do nothing to the majority of people. I argue that, circumstance<u>s</u> of life <u>are is</u> a serious factor. longevity with low quality is futile.